

Effect of Repeated Bonding on the Shear Bond Strength of Smartbond Cyanoacrylate Orthodontic Adhesive

1. **Nayha Enver**

BDS, FCPS (Orthodontics), Demonstrator, Department of Orthodontics, de'Montmorency College of Dentistry, Lahore.

2. **Hira Asghar**

B.Sc, BDS, M.Phil (Dental Materials), Associate Professor, Department of Science of Dental Materials, Azra Naheed Dental College, Superior University, Lahore.

3. **Anam Tahir**

BDS, M.Phil (Dental Materials), Assistant Professor, Department of Science of Dental Materials, CIMS Dental College, Multan.

4. **Zenab Yaasir**

BDS, M.Phil (Dental Materials), Associate Professor, Department of Science of Dental Materials, Akhtar Saeed Medical and Dental College, Lahore.

Corresponding Author: Drzenab@gmail.com

5. **Sadia Manzoor**

BDS, M.Phil (Dental Materials), PhD Scholar (Dental Materials, University of Hong Kong, Faculty of Dentistry), Assistant Professor, Department of Science of Dental Materials, Margalla Institute of Health Sciences, Rawalpindi.

6. **Hafiz Muhammad Owais Nasim**

BDS, M.Phil (Dental Materials), Assistant Professor, Department of Science of Dental Materials, Institute of Dentistry, CMH Lahore Medical College, National University of Medical Sciences, Lahore.

Abstract

Background and Aim: For many years, cyanoacrylate adhesive substance has been rendered as the superglue material in the domains of dentistry and medicine. Due to its moisture insensitivity, the adhesive substance can be used as an orthodontic bonding agent in circumstances where isolation is problematic. The present investigation assessed the repeated bonding effect on the shear bond strength (SBS) of smart bond cyanoacrylate orthodontic adhesive.

Materials and Methods: This study was carried out on 30 test specimens of orthodontic adhesive in the Orthodontics and Dento-facial Orthopedics Department of a Tertiary Care Hospital of Lahore, Pakistan. Inclusion criteria of the study were the presence of an intact labial enamel surface and those specimens which were appropriately kept following tooth extraction. Extractions were made of the permanent mandibular premolars and the Smart

bond was used for repeated bonding (2-repetitions) of each specimen. The SBS of each specimen was calculated using universal testing machine (UTM).

Results: Mean shear bond strength after first bonding, first re-bonding, and second re-bonding was 6.11 ± 2.28 MPa, 4.21 ± 2.35 MPa and 4.22 ± 1.52 MPa respectively.

Conclusion: In general, after de-bonding and re-bonding treatments, the SBS seen after the first and second debonding-rebonding procedures is insufficient for most orthodontic demands. In this regard, the Smart Bond cyanoacrylate adhesive solution provides excellent Shear Bond Strength during first bonding, making it a suitable choice for orthodontic bracket's direct bonding.

Keywords: Cyanoacrylate orthodontic adhesive, De-bonding, Re-bonding, Shear bond strength, Universal testing machine.

Tob Regul Sci.™ 2023;9(1): 4470-4475

DOI: doi.org/10.18001/TRS.9.1.314

Introduction

Since 1955, the notion of attaching enamel of the tooth to various resins has grown in popularity. Buonocore pioneered acid etch bonding in various aspects of dentistry, including orthodontic bracket bonding [1, 2, 3]. Reduced soft-tissue irritation and less danger of decalcification, improved patient's aesthetic appearance, less incidence of hyperplastic gingivitis, improvement in plaque removal, separation elimination, better caries treatment and detection and less prevalence of post-treatment spaces were numerous advantages of this technique [4]. Kumari et al discovered no significant variations in re-bond strength and initial SBS after reconditioning the enamel surface [5]. Hatipoglu et al reported that absence of tooth surface's bracket reconditioning resulted in the maximum SBS [6]. Mazin et al, on the other hand, discovered that bond recycling and re-bonded orthodontic attachments reduced both SBS and TBS (tensile bond strength) [7].

Despite significant progress in using direct bonding to improve treatment effectiveness, bond failures remain a problem in the orthodontic clinical practice [8]. Composite resins also offer a number of disadvantages such as moisture sensitivity, possible allergic reactions and a flavor/taste issues [9-11]. Cyanoacrylate glues are commonly utilized in dentistry and medicine [12]. A lot of studies have demonstrated that long-term usage of cyanoacrylates within the human body has got no negative effects [13, 14]. As bonding agents, cyanoacrylates in the form of commercial "super glue" have been tested. Scribante et al performed an in vitro test of a cyanoacrylate bonding agent and reported that its effectiveness degraded when stored in saline, making the material unsuitable for clinical usage [15]. The present investigation assessed the repeated bonding effect on the shear bond strength (SBS) of smart bond cyanoacrylate orthodontic adhesive.

Methodology

This study was carried out on 30 test specimens of orthodontic adhesive in the Orthodontics and Dento-facial Orthopedics Department of a Tertiary Care Hospital of Lahore, Pakistan. Inclusion criteria of the study were the presence of an intact labial enamel surface and those specimens which were appropriately kept following tooth extraction. Extractions were made of the permanent mandibular premolars and the Smart bond was used for repeated bonding (2-repetitions) of each specimen. The SBS of each specimen was calculated using universal testing machine (UTM). The following was set as the exclusion criteria for the specimens: presence of dental caries, dental restorations, enamel flaws such as surface cracking following tooth extraction and an inappropriately stored specimen. To determine their appropriateness for inclusion, all teeth were inspected under regular surgical light setting. Fluoride-free pumice slurry was used for polishing the premolar teeth's enamel surfaces for 10 seconds using a rubber cup. The Universal Testing Machine was tested with a load cell (2 KN) and 0.5mm/min cross-head speed. All apparent leftover bonding was removed with a finishing tungsten carbide bur after each de-bonding.

Results

Mean shear bond strength after first bonding, first re-bonding, and second re-bonding was 6.11 ± 2.28 MPa, 4.21 ± 2.35 MPa, and 4.22 ± 1.52 MPa respectively. Table-I presents the SBS statistical analysis of readings taken on the brackets that have been bonded and re-bonded with the Smart bond. When the differences between de-bonding sequences were examined, the results revealed that both adhesives had a considerable drop in SBS between the first and second bonding/debonding processes. There were no significant differences in shear bond strengths between bonding/debonding sequences 2 and 3. Table-II represents the measurement of SBS in terms of mean, standard deviation, range, and *p*-value in Group-I, II, and III.

Table-I: SBS statistical analysis of readings taken on the brackets that have been bonded and re-bonded with the Smart bond.

Groups	SBS values (Mean \pm SD) MPa	<i>p</i> -value
Group-I (SBS)	6.11 ± 2.28	0.002
Group-II (SBS repetition I)	4.21 ± 2.35	0.001
Group-III (SBS repetition II)	4.22 ± 1.52	0.894

Table-II: Measurement of SBS in terms of mean, standard deviation, range, and *p*-value in Group-I, II, and III.

Groups	Sample (n)	SBS values (Mean ± SD) MPa	Range	<i>p</i> -value
Group-I (SBS)	30	6.11 ± 2.28	7.92	0.002
Group-II (SBS repetition I)	30	4.21 ± 2.35	12.59	0.001
Group-I (SBS)	30	6.11 ± 2.28	7.92	0.002
Group-III (SBS repetition II)	30	4.22 ± 1.52	5.49	0.894
Group-II (SBS repetition I)	30	4.21 ± 2.35	12.59	0.001
Group-III (SBS repetition II)	30	4.22 ± 1.52	5.49	0.894

Discussion

Orthodontic treatment causing bond failure is a common clinical encounter and is an unpleasant event. The entire duration of treatment might increase due to inconvenience in preparing, cleaning, and new bracket bonding in a busy clinical practice. As a result, the literature presents contradictory conclusions concerning the re-bonded attachments' SBS in cases where re-bonding of teeth is done more than once [17, 18]. According to the current data, SBS is reduced by 33% with Transbond and 61% with Smart Bond adhesives respectively during the second bonding/debonding process. The SBS of both adhesives were virtually maintained between debonding procedures 2 and 3. The debonding/rebonding sequences were performed in <30 minutes to imitate the clinical situation in which a loose bracket of patient has to be substituted while re-attaching the arch-wire as well [19].

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) examination of the enamel surface has revealed that the higher SBS achieved during the first debonding phase was due to the original etched enamel surface providing a considerable level of mechanical retention. The enamel surface underneath the bracket had maintained the traces of the cyanoacrylate bond and the composite adhesive during the two consecutive bonding/debonding procedures. Though the finishing bur for enamel surface cleaning recuperated its sheen, the residual glue was still seen as depicted by the SEM. Furthermore, the etched enamel surface in the form of white chalky areas was exhibited during the first bonding phase of the rebonding procedure. Likewise, enamel surface residual adhesive following carbide bur cleaning clarifies the resemblance or comparable results of SBS during second and third bonding/debonding procedures [20].

In our study, the SBS of each sample of 30 metallic brackets bonded with Smart Bond was 6.11 MPa. Sokucu et al reported similar statistics of SBS during their investigations as well [21]. Al Jabbari et al also got such similar results, observing a similar SBS value for bonding/re-bonding using Smart bond adhesive [22].

Conclusion

In general, after de-bonding and re-bonding treatments, the SBS seen after the first and second debonding-rebonding procedures is insufficient for most orthodontic demands. In this regard, the Smart Bond cyanoacrylate adhesive solution provides excellent Shear Bond Strength during first bonding, making it a suitable choice for orthodontic bracket's direct bonding.

References

- [1] Buonocore MG. A simple method of increasing the adhesion of acrylic filling materials to enamel surfaces. *J Dent Res.* 1955;34:849–853
- [2] Mehta S, Mehta F, Patel R, Kumar A. Effect of Repeated Bonding on the Shear Bond Strength of Smart bond Cyanoacrylate Orthodontic Adhesive. *Indian Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Research.* 2016 Jan;2(1):14-8.
- [3] Stefański T, Kloc-Ptaszna A, Postek-Stefańska L. Bond strength of orthodontic adhesives to dry and saliva-moistened enamel a comparative in vitro study. *Archives of Materials Science and Engineering.* 2019;96(2):79-84.
- [4] Vaishnav S. Comparing the Effect of Three Different Primers on Shear Bond Strength of Metal Brackets Bonded using Transbond XT Adhesive: An In vitro study (Doctoral dissertation, Ragas Dental College and Hospital, Chennai).
- [5] Kumari DA, Kumar DS, Kumar Dn, Saha DD. *Bonding in Orthodontics.* Book rivers; 2022 Mar 14.
- [6] Hatipoglu S, Paksoy T. Do conventional and new-generation multiple ultrasonic applications change the shear bond strength of metal brackets? *Journal of Dental Research and Review.* 2022 Jan 1;9(1):29.
- [7] Chapman JA, Roberts WE, Eckert GJ, Kula KS, González-Cabezas C. Risk factors for incidence and severity of white spot lesions during treatment with fixed orthodontic appliances. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2010;138:188-94.
- [8] Mazin H, Ali S, Salah R. The effect of fixed orthodontic appliances on gingival health. *IOSR JDMS* 2016;15:82-8.
- [9] Ristic M, Vlahovic Svabic M, Sasic M, Zelic O. Clinical and microbiological effects of fixed orthodontic appliances on periodontal tissues in adolescents. *Orthod Craniofac Res* 2007;10:187-95.
- [10] Kudirkaite I, Lopatiene K, Zubiene J, Saldunaite K. Age and gender influence on oral hygiene among adolescents with fixed orthodontic appliances. *Stomatologija* 2016;18:61-5.
- [11] Alessandri Bonetti G, Incerti Parenti S, Ippolito DR, Gatto MR, Luigi C. Effects of ultrasonic instrumentation with different scaler-tip angulations on the shear bond strength and bond failure mode of metallic orthodontic brackets. *Korean J Orthod* 2014;44:44-9
- [12] Scribante A, Sfondrini MF, Collesano V, Tovt G, Bernardinelli L, Gandini P. Dental hygiene and orthodontics: Effect of ultrasonic instrumentation on bonding efficacy of different lingual orthodontic brackets. *Biomed Res Int* 2017; 2017:3714651.

- [13] Scribante A, Contreras-Bulnes R, Montasser MA, Vallittu PK. Orthodontics: Bracket materials, adhesives systems, and their bond strength. *Biomed Res Int* 2016; 2016:1329814.
- [14] Aydın B, Pamir T, Baltacı A, Orman MN, Turk T. Effect of storage solutions on microhardness of crown enamel and dentin. *Eur J Dent* 2015;9:262-6.
- [15] Scribante A, Sfondrini MF, Fraticelli D, Daina P, Tamagnone A, Gandini P. The influence of no-primer adhesives and anchor pylons bracket bases on shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets. *Biomed Res Int* 2013; 2013:315023.
- [16] Ogaard B, Rølla G, Arends J. Orthodontic appliances and enamel demineralization. Part 1. Lesion development. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1988;94:68-73.
- [17] Marchan SM, White D, Smith W, Dhuru V. The influence of sonic and ultrasonic vibration on the shear bond strength of a selected resin luting cement. *Eur J Prosthodont Restor Dent* 2015;23:29-32.
- [18] Vicente Ascensión, Manuel Toledano, Luis A. Bravo, Alejandro Romeo, Blanca de la Higuera, Raquel Osorioet: Effect of water contamination on the shear bond strength of five orthodontic adhesives. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cit Bucal* 2010 Sept;15(5):e 820-26
- [19] Ahmed R. Afify, Ahmed B. Abdel-Aal, Yasser L.A. Mahmoud: Clinical and Laboratory Evaluation of Cyanoacrylate Orthodontic Adhesive. *Egyptian Orthodontic Journal*, Dec. 2004,26:13-23.
- [20] Elekdag-Turk S, Turk T, Isci D, Ozkalayci N. Thermocycling effects on shear bond strength of a self-etching primer. *Angle Orthod* 2008;78:351-6.
- [21] Sokucu O, Siso SH, Ozturk F, Nalcaci R. Shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets cured with different light sources under thermocycling. *Eur J Dent* 2010;4:257-62.
- [22] Al Jabbari YS, Al Taweel SM, Al Rifaiy M, Alqahtani MQ, Koutsoukis T, Zinelis S. Effects of surface treatment and artificial aging on the shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets bonded to four different provisional restorations. *Angle Orthod* 2014;84:649-55.