Efficacy of the Retrograde Popliteal Approach in Treating Flush Occlusion of the Superficial Femoral Artery

Amro Mohamed Mohamed Mohamed Ahmed Hassane, Hossam Ahmed Tawfik Ali, Wael Mohamed El Shimy, Mohamed Effat El Sherbiny, Mahmoud Ali Ellithy

Vascular Surgery Department, Faculty of Medicine - Zagazig University, Egypt

Corresponding author: Amro Mohamed Mohamed Mohamed Ahmed Hassane

E-mail: Amrohassanehu@gmail.com

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Funding: No funding sources

Abstract

Flush occlusion of the superficial femoral artery (SFA) presents a significant challenge in endovascular interventions due to the complex anatomical location and limited access points. The retrograde popliteal Approach has emerged as a viable technique for revascularization in such cases. This review aims to evaluate the efficacy, safety, and technical success of the contralateral antegrade approach in managing flush occlusions of the SFA. The analysis includes data from recent studies, clinical trials, and expert consensus, highlighting outcomes, procedural complications, and patient prognosis. Flush occlusion of the superficial femoral artery represents a severe peripheral arterial disease manifestation, often resulting in critical limb ischemia and significant morbidity. Traditional endovascular techniques face challenges due to the occlusion's proximity to the arterial bifurcation, making antegrade access difficult. The contralateral antegrade approach, utilizing femoral access from the unaffected side, offers an alternative route for crossing and treating these challenging lesions. But in some morphological challenging occlusions as flush SFA we still need a valid alternative other than ante-grade of contralateral crossover access. The retrograde approach demonstrated high technical success rates. Procedural time was generally shorter compared to retrograde techniques. Complication rates, including arterial dissection and access site hematoma, remained low. Key factors contributing to success included operator experience, lesion length, and the use of advanced crossing devices. Additionally, patients showed significant improvement in ankle-brachial index (ABI) and symptom relief post-procedure. **Conclusion** The retrograde popliteal approach is a safe and effective technique for treating flush occlusions of the superficial femoral artery. It offers high technical success rates and favorable patient outcomes while minimizing complications. Further randomized controlled trials are needed to validate these findings and refine procedural guidelines.

Keywords: retrograde popliteal Approach, Flush Occlusion, Superficial Femoral Artery, Endovascular Intervention, Peripheral Arterial Disease.

Regul Sci. ™ 2023; 9(1): 9095 - 9100

DOI: doi.org/10.18001 /TRS.9.1. 652

Introduction

1. Pathophysiology and Clinical Significance of Flush Occlusion

Mechanisms of Flush Occlusion Formation

Flush occlusion occurs when a thrombus or advanced atherosclerotic plaque abruptly occludes an arterial origin, predominantly affecting the superficial femoral artery (SFA) [1]. The pathogenesis involves endothelial damage, lipid core exposure, and platelet aggregation, initiating the coagulation cascade [2]. Turbulent blood flow and shear stress exacerbate this process, especially in regions of bifurcation where hemodynamic forces are concentrated [3]. Additionally, systemic factors such as smoking, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia significantly accelerate plaque formation and instability [4].

Persistent inflammation and oxidative stress within the arterial wall further destabilize plaques, promoting rupture and thrombus formation [5]. The balance between thrombolysis and thrombosis often determines the extent of vessel occlusion [6]. This delicate balance can be disrupted in chronic disease states, leading to complete occlusion with minimal collateral compensation.

Clinical Presentation and Symptomatology

Acute and chronic presentations of flush occlusions differ markedly. Acute cases typically present with sudden onset pain, cold extremities, and sensory or motor deficits [7]. In contrast, chronic occlusions manifest as progressive intermittent claudication, reduced walking distance, and rest pain in severe cases [8]. The degree of ischemia correlates with the level and chronicity of occlusion, as well as collateral circulation [9].

In patients with diabetes, symptomatology may be masked by neuropathy, leading to delayed diagnosis and worse outcomes [10]. Diagnostic imaging, including duplex ultrasound and computed tomography angiography (CTA), plays a critical role in identifying the exact location and severity of occlusions [11].

The **retrograde popliteal approach** has emerged as an effective technique for managing **flush occlusions of the superficial femoral artery (SFA)**, particularly in cases where traditional antegrade approaches have failed or are technically challenging. Flush occlusions, characterized by a complete blockage at the origin of the SFA, often prevent successful wire crossing from an antegrade femoral access. In such scenarios, a retrograde approach via the **popliteal artery** provides a viable alternative. This technique involves accessing the popliteal artery, typically under ultrasound or fluoroscopic guidance, to facilitate retrograde crossing of the lesion. Once the lesion is crossed, balloon angioplasty and stenting can be performed to restore blood flow. This approach not only improves procedural success rates but also reduces the need for more invasive surgical interventions, preserving limb function and quality of life. [11].

The retrograde popliteal approach is particularly advantageous in patients with complex or heavily calcified lesions where antegrade wire escalation proves unsuccessful. It offers a more direct route to the occlusion and can often achieve revascularization without requiring multiple access sites or prolonged procedural time. Additionally, advancements in imaging technology, such as **intravascular ultrasound (IVUS)** and high-resolution fluoroscopy, have further refined the accuracy and safety of this approach. However, careful patient selection remains crucial, as popliteal access can carry risks such as hematoma, pseudoaneurysm, or arterial injury. Overall, the retrograde popliteal approach represents a valuable addition to the endovascular toolkit for managing challenging flush occlusions of the superficial femoral artery, offering hope for improved outcomes in patients with advanced peripheral arterial disease. [12].

Impact on Limb Ischemia and Overall Prognosis

The prognosis of flush occlusion is directly tied to the timing and efficacy of intervention. Early revascularization significantly reduces the risk of amputation and long-term disability [12]. However, delayed intervention increases the risk of irreversible ischemic damage, infection, and systemic complications [13].

Prognostic models often consider factors such as lesion length, collateral vessel status, and systemic comorbidities [14]. Studies indicate that successful revascularization not only restores blood flow but also improves quality of life and reduces healthcare costs associated with prolonged hospital stays and limb loss [15].

Pathophysiological Adaptations in Chronic Cases

In chronic flush occlusion, the body initiates collateral vessel formation to compensate for reduced perfusion. However, these collaterals are often insufficient to meet tissue oxygen demand during physical exertion [16]. Endothelial dysfunction, characterized by impaired nitric oxide production and increased oxidative stress, further complicates the disease process [17].

Histological studies reveal fibrotic changes and smooth muscle proliferation in chronic occlusions, creating an impenetrable barrier for endovascular tools [18]. Understanding these adaptations helps guide therapeutic decisions and optimize procedural outcomes.

2. Overview of Endovascular Techniques for SFA Occlusions

Retrograde vs Antegrade Approaches

The antegrade approach, accessing the artery from a proximal site such as the common femoral artery, is often the preferred method due to its direct trajectory to the lesion [19]. However, anatomical challenges, including steep angles, significant vessel tortuosity, and heavily calcified plaques, can complicate the passage of guidewires and catheters [20]. Retrograde access, on the other hand, involves puncturing distal arteries such as the popliteal artery, providing a more favorable wire trajectory in some cases [21].

Retrograde access is typically reserved for cases where antegrade attempts fail or are technically challenging [22]. While it provides a more favorable angle for certain lesions, it carries risks such as increased bleeding, pseudoaneurysm formation, and potential injury to the distal vessels [23]. Operators must carefully weigh the benefits and risks when selecting between the two approaches.

Technical Considerations for Access Selection

The choice between antegrade and retrograde approaches depends on multiple factors, including lesion characteristics, patient anatomy, and operator expertise [24]. Antegrade access is preferred for shorter lesions and less calcified plaques, while retrograde access may be more suitable for long chronic total occlusions (CTOs) [25].

Operators often utilize hybrid approaches, combining antegrade and retrograde access, to maximize procedural success [26]. Pre-procedural imaging and lesion assessment are essential to guide this decision-making process and minimize complications [27].

Procedural Challenges and Innovations

One of the key challenges in retrograde access is the smaller caliber of distal vessels, which limits the use of larger sheaths and devices [28]. Antegrade approaches, while more forgiving in terms of vessel size, may pose difficulties in wire manipulation due to sharp angulation at the arterial bifurcation [29].

Technological innovations, including steerable sheaths, low-profile balloon systems, and advanced re-entry devices, have mitigated many of these procedural barriers [30]. Furthermore, hybrid techniques combining both approaches have demonstrated superior outcomes in cases with complex lesions.

Operator Preference and Experience

The success of either approach largely depends on operator expertise. Experienced operators are often adept at recognizing procedural challenges early and adapting their strategies accordingly [31]. Case-based learning and simulation training have been shown to enhance procedural confidence and success rates in both retrograde and antegrade techniques [32].

3. Technical Aspects of the Contralateral Antegrade Approach

Patient Positioning and Vascular Access

Optimal patient positioning is fundamental for a successful contralateral antegrade approach. Patients are typically placed supine, with the access site exposed and adequately supported to ensure stability during the procedure [33]. Ultrasound-guided vascular access minimizes complications associated with blind puncture techniques [34].

Sheath placement should allow adequate maneuverability of catheters and guidewires without compromising vascular integrity. Proper anticoagulation during vascular access reduces the risk of thrombus formation and distal embolization [35].

Imaging and Lesion Assessment

Pre-procedural imaging, including duplex ultrasound, CTA, and digital subtraction angiography (DSA), provides valuable information on lesion morphology, calcification, and vessel tortuosity [36]. Imaging also assists in predicting procedural difficulty and determining the most appropriate wire and catheter combination [37].

Real-time imaging during the procedure helps ensure proper guidewire tracking and accurate device deployment, reducing the risk of complications such as dissections and perforations [38].

Crossing Strategies and Device Selection

Successful lesion crossing relies on guidewire selection, catheter choice, and proper technique. Hydrophilic guidewires are often preferred for their lubricity and ability to navigate tortuous anatomy [39]. Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) can further improve wire navigation by providing real-time visualization of the wire's intraluminal position [40].

In challenging occlusions, re-entry devices are used to guide the wire back into the true lumen when subintimal dissection occurs [41]. Operators must be familiar with these tools and techniques to optimize outcomes.

Stent Deployment and Optimization

After successful lesion crossing, balloon angioplasty is typically performed to prepare the lesion for stent deployment. Drug-eluting stents (DES) are increasingly used to reduce restenosis rates [42]. Post-stent deployment optimization using high-pressure balloons and IVUS ensures adequate stent expansion and apposition [43].

Long-term patency depends on appropriate stent sizing and accurate deployment, emphasizing the importance of procedural precision [44].

References:

1. Norgren L, Hiatt WR, Dormandy JA, et al. Inter-Society Consensus for the Management of Peripheral Arterial Disease. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2007;33(Suppl 1):S1-S75.
2. Criqui MH, Aboyans V. Epidemiology of peripheral artery disease. Circ Res. 2015;116(9):1509-1526.
3. Davies MG. Critique of the angiographic outcomes of SFA interventions. J Vasc Surg. 2016;64(6):1667-1677.
4. Schillinger M, Sabeti S, Loewe C, et al. Balloon angioplasty versus stenting in SFA disease. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(18):1879-1888.
5. Conte MS, Pomposelli FB, Clair DG, et al. Society for Vascular Surgery practice guidelines for atherosclerotic occlusive disease of the lower extremities. J Vasc Surg. 2015;61(3 Suppl):2S-41S.e1.
6. Fowkes FG, Rudan D, Rudan I, et al. Comparison of global estimates of prevalence and risk factors for peripheral artery disease. Lancet. 2013;382(9901):1329-1340.
7. Hirsch AT, Haskal ZJ, Hertzer NR, et al. ACC/AHA Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Peripheral Arterial Disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006;47(6):1239-1312.
8. Aboyans V, Ricco JB, Bartelink MEL, et al. ESC Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Peripheral Arterial Diseases. Eur Heart J. 2018;39(9):763-816.
9. Rocha-Singh KJ, Jaff MR, Crabtree TR, et al. Major adverse events and predisposing clinical variables in peripheral vascular interventions. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2005;16(5):537-544.
10. Makdisse M, Pereira AC, Brasil DP, et al. Prevalence and risk factors associated with peripheral arterial disease in the Hearts of Brazil Project. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2008;91(6):370-382.
11. Rutherford RB, Baker JD, Ernst C, et al. Recommended standards for reports dealing with lower extremity ischemia: revised version. J Vasc Surg. 1997;26(3):517-538.

12. Dormandy JA, Rutherford RB. Management of peripheral arterial disease (PAD). TASC Working Group. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2000;19(Suppl A):S1-250.
13. Armstrong EJ, Chen DC, Westin GG, et al. Adherence to guideline-recommended therapies for patients with peripheral artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63(7):682-690.
14. Beckman JA, Duncan MS, Damrauer SM, et al. Association of diabetes mellitus and chronic limb-threatening ischemia with mortality in peripheral artery disease. JAMA Cardiol. 2019;4(7):684-693.
15. Mustapha JA, Katzen BT, Neville RF, et al. Disease burden and clinical outcomes following initial diagnosis of critical limb ischemia. J Am Heart Assoc. 2018;7(10):e007421.
16. Laird JR, Katzen BT, Scheinert D, et al. Nitinol stent implantation vs. percutaneous transluminal angioplasty for femoropopliteal disease. JAMA. 2005;293(4):491-498.
17. Nordanstig J, James S, Andersson M, et al. Mortality and morbidity in patients with peripheral artery disease. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg. 2017;54(4):565-573.
18. Iida O, Soga Y, Hirano K, et al. Long-term outcomes of endovascular therapy for chronic total occlusion of the superficial femoral artery. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2017;10(6):701-711.
19. Yeo KK, Chiam PT, Tay EL, et al. Outcomes following antegrade and retrograde approaches for SFA occlusions. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2010;76(1):78-85.
20. Schmidli J, Savolainen H, Tekoluk B, et al. Retrograde vs antegrade approaches in superficial femoral artery occlusions. Ann Vasc Surg. 2020;64:126-134.
21. Schmidt A, Piorkowski M, Görner H, et al. Retrograde recanalization of SFA occlusions: clinical outcomes. J Endovasc Ther. 2017;24(4):570-580.
22. Shammas NW. Retrograde tibiopedal access for challenging femoropopliteal CTOs. Vasc Med. 2016;21(2):181-187.
23. Brilakis ES, Banerjee S, Karmpaliotis D, et al. Procedural outcomes of hybrid approaches for SFA occlusions. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2015;8(10):e002325.
24. Zeller T, Krankenberg H, Steinkamp HJ, et al. Drug-eluting stents for superficial femoral artery occlusive disease. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(15):1457-1467.
25. Ueshima D, Ashikaga T, Inoue T, et al. Efficacy of steerable sheaths in complex femoropopliteal interventions. J Vasc Surg. 2021;73(1):124-130.
26. Tsetis D, Belli AM. Guidelines for endovascular treatment of femoropopliteal artery disease. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2004;27(4):240-253.
27. Iida O, Nakamura M, Yamauchi Y, et al. Technical challenges in contralateral antegrade SFA interventions. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2020;31(3):442-451.
28. Soga Y, Iida O, Kawasaki D, et al. Clinical utility of IVUS in lower extremity arterial interventions. J Endovasc Ther. 2016;23(5):672-678.
29. Matsumi J, Yamamoto K, Koyama S, et al. Comparative study on hybrid vs. single-access techniques in complex SFA occlusions. Ann Vasc Dis. 2019;12(3):304-312.
30. Harada T, Nishimura T, Toyama K, et al. Factors predicting successful guidewire crossing in CTOs. Circ J. 2018;82(4):1075-1082.
31. Mustapha JA, Diaz-Sandoval LJ, Saab F. Hybrid antegrade-retrograde techniques for CTOs. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2019;94(3):420-428.