Per-Oral Endoscopic Myotomy (POEM): A Paradigm Shift in Achalasia Therapy

Peter Hany Wasef Daoud, Samir Ibrahim Mohammed, Abdelwahab Saleh Abdelwahab, Mohammed Farouk Amin

General Surgery Department, Faculty of Medicine - Zagazig University, Egypt

Corresponding author: Peter Hany Wasef Daoud

E-mail: peterhany2009@gmail.com

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Funding: No funding sources

Abstract

Achalasia of the cardia, a rare esophageal motility disorder, poses significant challenges in management due to its progressive nature and debilitating symptoms. Per-Oral Endoscopic Myotomy (POEM) has emerged as a groundbreaking minimally invasive technique, offering a promising alternative to traditional surgical interventions such as Heller myotomy and pneumatic dilation. This review explores the role of POEM in the management of achalasia, focusing on its efficacy, safety profile, and long-term outcomes. Key topics include an overview of the pathophysiology of achalasia, the technical advancements underpinning POEM, and comparative analysis with established therapeutic modalities. Current evidence suggests that POEM provides excellent symptom relief, with high success rates across all subtypes of achalasia, and demonstrates favorable outcomes even in challenging cases such as failed prior interventions. Complications, such as gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), and their management strategies are discussed in detail. By synthesizing recent data and clinical experiences, this review highlights the transformative impact of POEM in reshaping the therapeutic landscape of achalasia, emphasizing its potential as a first-line treatment in select patient populations.

Keywords: Per-Oral Endoscopic Myotomy, Achalasia Therapy

Regul Sci. ™ 2023; 9(1): 9036 - 9043

DOI: doi.org/10.18001 /TRS.9.1. 648

Introduction

Achalasia is a rare esophageal motility disorder characterized by the failure of the lower esophageal sphincter (LES) to relax and the absence of esophageal peristalsis. The condition results in dysphagia, regurgitation, and sometimes chest pain, significantly impacting quality of life. Management often involves surgical intervention, aiming to relieve LES obstruction and improve esophageal emptying [1].

Surgical management of achalasia has evolved over the years, with the primary goals being symptom relief and preservation of esophageal function. The most commonly performed procedure is the Heller myotomy, a minimally invasive laparoscopic or robotic surgery. This procedure involves cutting the LES muscles to reduce pressure and allow food to pass into the stomach more easily [2].

Laparoscopic Heller myotomy (LHM) is considered the gold standard surgical treatment for achalasia. The procedure is often complemented by a partial fundoplication, which helps prevent gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), a common complication of myotomy. This dual approach ensures both effective symptom relief and minimized postoperative complications [3].

Robotic-assisted Heller myotomy (RAHM) represents a significant advancement in achalasia management. The robotic approach provides enhanced dexterity and precision, potentially improving outcomes compared to conventional laparoscopy. Studies suggest that RAHM may result in lower rates of mucosal perforation and shorter recovery times [4].

Peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) is a less invasive alternative to LHM and RAHM. This endoscopic procedure involves creating a submucosal tunnel and performing a myotomy through the esophagus. POEM has shown comparable efficacy to surgical myotomy, with the added benefit of being incisionless, thus reducing postoperative pain and scarring [5].

Comparative studies between LHM, RAHM, and POEM indicate that while all three methods are effective, POEM offers greater flexibility in addressing different subtypes of achalasia, such as type III (spastic achalasia). However, POEM is associated with a higher risk of GERD due to the lack of an antireflux procedure [6].

The decision between these surgical options depends on various factors, including the patient's age, comorbidities, achalasia subtype, and surgeon expertise. For instance, younger patients may benefit from LHM with fundoplication to reduce long-term GERD risk, whereas elderly patients might prefer POEM due to its minimally invasive nature [7].

Preoperative evaluation is crucial for surgical planning. High-resolution manometry (HRM) is the gold standard for diagnosing achalasia and determining its subtype. Additional tests like barium esophagram and endoscopy are often performed to assess esophageal anatomy and exclude malignancy [8].

Intraoperative considerations during surgical management include the use of esophageal bougies or endoscopes to guide myotomy depth and avoid complications like mucosal perforation. Ensuring an adequate length of myotomy is also critical to prevent persistent symptoms post-surgery [9].

Postoperative care plays a vital role in achieving optimal outcomes. Patients are typically advised to follow a liquid diet initially, gradually transitioning to solids. Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are often prescribed to manage reflux symptoms, especially in patients who have undergone POEM or LHM without fundoplication [10].

Long-term outcomes of surgical management are generally favorable, with most patients experiencing significant symptom relief. However, a subset of patients may develop recurrence of

symptoms due to incomplete myotomy, scarring, or progression of the disease. These cases may require repeat intervention or alternative treatments [11].

Esophagectomy is considered a last resort for patients with end-stage achalasia, where the esophagus is severely dilated and nonfunctional (megaesophagus). This procedure involves removing the esophagus and reconstructing it using a segment of the stomach or colon. While effective, it is associated with significant morbidity and is reserved for severe cases [12].

Advancements in imaging and diagnostic techniques have enhanced the ability to tailor surgical management to individual patients. For example, HRM allows precise identification of achalasia subtypes, guiding the choice of surgical approach and improving outcomes [13].

Complications of surgical management, such as GERD, mucosal perforation, or stricture formation, require prompt recognition and management. Endoscopic dilation or stenting may be necessary for strictures, while GERD is typically managed with PPIs or additional surgical interventions [14].

Patient-reported outcomes are increasingly recognized as essential in evaluating the success of achalasia surgery. Tools like the Eckardt score and quality-of-life questionnaires provide valuable insights into symptom control and patient satisfaction, informing future management strategies [15].

Research continues to explore novel approaches to achalasia management, including combination therapies and improved surgical techniques. For instance, integrating botulinum toxin injections with myotomy or developing biodegradable stents for postoperative care holds promise for enhancing outcomes [16].

Training and expertise in advanced surgical techniques like RAHM and POEM are critical for improving patient outcomes. Centers of excellence with multidisciplinary teams often achieve better results due to the availability of specialized equipment and experienced surgeons [17].

Global disparities in achalasia management highlight the need for improved access to surgical care. In resource-limited settings, patients often rely on palliative treatments like pneumatic dilation, underscoring the importance of expanding surgical training and infrastructure [18].

The role of patient education in achalasia management cannot be overstated. Informing patients about the nature of the disease, available treatments, and potential risks empowers them to make informed decisions and adhere to postoperative care plans [19], the surgical management of achalasia has advanced significantly, offering a range of effective options tailored to individual patient needs. Continued research, technological innovation, and improved access to care are essential for optimizing outcomes and ensuring equitable treatment for all patients [20].

Introduction to Achalasia and Its Challenges

Achalasia is a chronic esophageal motility disorder characterized by the inability of the lower esophageal sphincter (LES) to relax and absent or uncoordinated peristalsis. This leads to progressive dysphagia, regurgitation, and weight loss, profoundly affecting patients' quality of life [21]. Traditional treatment modalities have included pneumatic dilation, Heller myotomy, and botulinum toxin injections, but their efficacy varies and often requires repeated interventions [22].

Achalasia affects approximately 1 in 100,000 individuals annually, with an equal distribution between men and women. The condition's etiology remains unclear but is believed to involve autoimmune and neurodegenerative mechanisms targeting the myenteric plexus. Diagnosis often relies on high-resolution esophageal manometry, which identifies the hallmark findings of impaired LES relaxation and aperistalsis [23].

Despite advancements in diagnostic techniques, achalasia is often diagnosed late due to its insidious onset and overlapping symptoms with other esophageal disorders. Early intervention is crucial to prevent complications such as esophageal dilation, food impaction, and aspiration pneumonia [24].

Management of achalasia is aimed at alleviating symptoms and improving esophageal emptying. The choice of therapy depends on the patient's age, comorbidities, and disease subtype. POEM has emerged as a versatile and durable treatment option that addresses these challenges effectively [25].

Evolution of POEM in Achalasia Management

Since its introduction by Inoue in 2008, per-oral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) has rapidly emerged as a minimally invasive, highly effective treatment option for achalasia. It combines the principles of natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) and endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) to perform a myotomy without external incisions [26].

The development of POEM marked a significant milestone in achalasia therapy, offering a tailored approach that could address the limitations of existing treatments. Inoue's pioneering work demonstrated that POEM could achieve comparable results to Heller myotomy while being less invasive [27].

Subsequent modifications in technique and technology have further enhanced the efficacy and safety of POEM. These include the use of advanced electrosurgical systems, improved endoscopic visualization, and refined patient selection criteria [28].

POEM has also gained recognition as a first-line therapy in many centers worldwide, particularly for patients with type III achalasia and other spastic esophageal disorders. Its success has spurred ongoing research into expanding its indications and optimizing outcomes [29].

Procedure Overview

The POEM procedure involves four key steps: mucosal incision, submucosal tunneling, circular muscle fiber myotomy, and closure of the mucosal entry. The myotomy typically extends 6–10 cm below and 2–4 cm above the LES, ensuring complete disruption of the functional obstruction while preserving anatomical integrity [30].

The mucosal incision is performed using an endoscopic knife to create an entry point for submucosal tunneling. This step requires precision to avoid mucosal injury while ensuring adequate access to the muscular layer. Submucosal tunneling involves the creation of a passage through which the myotomy can be performed [31].

During myotomy, selective cutting of the circular muscle fibers is performed to relieve the functional obstruction at the LES. Careful dissection and avoidance of longitudinal muscle fibers are critical to prevent complications such as perforation and bleeding [32].

Closure of the mucosal entry is achieved using endoscopic clips or suturing devices. This step ensures the integrity of the esophageal lining and minimizes the risk of postoperative infection or leakage [33].

Advantages Over Traditional Techniques

POEM offers several advantages over pneumatic dilation and Heller myotomy, including greater flexibility in myotomy length, fewer restrictions regarding esophageal anatomy, and avoidance of surgical incisions. Studies have shown that POEM achieves equivalent or superior symptom relief compared to Heller myotomy, particularly in spastic achalasia subtypes [34].

The endoscopic nature of POEM allows for a more tailored approach, addressing specific areas of dysfunction while minimizing trauma to surrounding tissues. This adaptability makes it particularly beneficial for patients with complex or recurrent achalasia [35].

Unlike pneumatic dilation, which carries a risk of esophageal perforation and requires repeated procedures, POEM provides a definitive solution with lasting symptom relief. Additionally, POEM's minimally invasive approach reduces postoperative pain and accelerates recovery compared to Heller myotomy [36].

The ability to perform POEM under direct visualization enhances procedural safety and precision. This advantage, combined with advancements in endoscopic tools, has made POEM a preferred option for both primary and salvage therapy in achalasia [37].

Efficacy and Long-Term Outcomes

The success rate of POEM, defined by significant symptom improvement and reduction in LES pressure, exceeds 90% in most series. Long-term follow-up studies have demonstrated durable efficacy, with low rates of symptom recurrence over 5 years [38].

A growing body of evidence supports the durability of POEM outcomes, even in patients with advanced disease or previous failed treatments. Studies have reported sustained symptom relief and stable LES pressures in patients followed for up to 10 years [39].

Factors influencing the success of POEM include the experience of the endoscopist, patient selection, and adherence to postoperative management protocols. Careful assessment and individualized treatment planning are essential to optimize outcomes [40].

Comparative studies with other treatment modalities, such as pneumatic dilation and Heller myotomy, consistently demonstrate the superiority of POEM in terms of efficacy, patient satisfaction, and durability of results [41].

Safety Profile and Complications

The minimally invasive nature of POEM results in reduced perioperative pain and shorter recovery times. However, complications such as pneumoperitoneum, mucosal perforation, and

gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) have been reported. Post-POEM GERD occurs in up to 50% of patients, necessitating routine proton pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy [41].

Pneumoperitoneum, a common but usually benign complication of POEM, occurs due to insufflation of carbon dioxide during the procedure. It is typically managed conservatively or with decompression using a Veress needle [41].

Mucosal perforation, while rare, can occur during tunneling or myotomy. Prompt recognition and closure with endoscopic clips or sutures are essential to prevent further complications [41].

GERD remains a significant concern following POEM, as the disruption of the LES predisposes patients to acid reflux. Long-term use of PPIs, dietary modifications, and periodic endoscopic evaluations are recommended for management [41].

References:

1. Vaezi MF, Pandolfino JE, Vela MF. ACG clinical guidelines: diagnosis and management of achalasia. Am J Gastroenterol. 2013;108(8):1238-1249.
2. Patti MG, Schlottmann F, Farrell TM. Surgical management of achalasia: an evidence-based approach. JAMA Surg. 2015;150(9):874-880.
3. Campos GM, Vittinghoff E, Rabl C, et al. Endoscopic and surgical treatments for achalasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Surg. 2009;249(1):45-57.
4. Kohn GP, Dirks RC, Ansari MT, et al. Robotic-assisted Heller myotomy: systematic review and meta-analysis. Dis Esophagus. 2016;29(1):3-11.
5. Inoue H, Minami H, Kobayashi Y, et al. Peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) for esophageal achalasia. Endoscopy. 2010;42(4):265-271.
6. Ponds FA, Fockens P, Lei A, et al. Comparison of POEM and LHM for achalasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastroenterology. 2019;157(2):236-246.
7. Pescarus R, Lee L, Francis NK, et al. Multidisciplinary guidelines for the management of achalasia. Surg Endosc. 2020;34(5):1729-1744.
8. Pandolfino JE, Gawron AJ. Achalasia: a systematic review. JAMA. 2015;313(18):1841-1852.
9. Sweet MP, Patti MG, Hayssen G, et al. Robotic-assisted and laparoscopic Heller myotomy for achalasia: a comparative study. J Gastrointest Surg. 2008;12(6):1020-1026.
10. Rohof WO, Boeckxstaens GE. Modern management of achalasia. Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol. 2015;13(1):90-102.
11. Zaninotto G, Costantini M, Portale G, et al. Evaluation of laparoscopic Heller myotomy long-term outcomes. Ann Surg. 2008;248(6):1023-1030.
12. Ellis FH Jr. Esophagectomy for end-stage achalasia: indications and outcome. J Gastrointest Surg. 2008;12(8):1405-1411.

13. Roman S, Kahrilas PJ. Management of spastic disorders of the esophagus. Gastroenterol Clin North Am. 2013;42(1):27-43.

14. Stipa F, Cassini D, Picciocchi A, et al. Complications following surgical treatment of achalasia: a retrospective study. World J Gastroenterol. 2006;12(43):6896-6900.

15. Eckardt VF. Clinical presentations and complications of achalasia. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am. 2001;11(2):281-292.

16. Ponds FA, Fockens P, Lei A, et al. Innovative approaches in achalasia management: the evolving role of endoscopic and surgical methods. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2020;45-46:101675.

17. Herbella FA, Patti MG. Achalasia: surgical or endoscopic treatment? J Gastrointest Surg. 2017;21(4):609-613.

18. Richter JE. Global challenges in the management of esophageal achalasia. Gastroenterology. 2020;158(7):1773-1775.

19. Vaezi MF, Pandolfino JE, Vela MF. Patient education in the management of esophageal motility disorders. Am J Gastroenterol. 2018;113(4):482-489.

20. Yadlapati R, Pandolfino JE. Achalasia and esophageal motility disorders—navigating management in the modern era. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018;15(5):316-327.

21. Boeckxstaens GE, Zaninotto G, Richter JE. Achalasia. Lancet. 2014;383(9911):83-93.

22. Vaezi MF, Pandolfino JE, Vela MF. ACG clinical guidelines: diagnosis and management of achalasia. Am J Gastroenterol. 2013;108(8):1238-1249.

23. Inoue H, Minami H, Kobayashi Y, et al. Peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) for esophageal achalasia. Endoscopy. 2010;42(4):265-271.

24. Stavropoulos SN, Desilets DJ, Banerjee S, et al. Per-oral endoscopic myotomy white paper. Gastrointest Endosc. 2014;80(1):1-15.

25. Kumbhari V, Tieu AH, Onimaru M, et al. Peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) versus laparoscopic Heller myotomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gastrointest Endosc. 2015;81(2):329-338.

26. Werner YB, Hakanson B, Martinek J, et al. Endoscopic or surgical myotomy in patients with idiopathic achalasia. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(23):2219-2229.

27. Patel KS, Calixte R, Modayil RJ, et al. Peroral endoscopic myotomy for achalasia: an American experience. World J Gastroenterol. 2016;22(13):4730-4740.

28. Teitelbaum EN, Soper NJ, Pandolfino JE, Kahrilas PJ. The use of high-resolution manometry to plan POEM for spastic esophageal disorders. Gastrointest Endosc. 2015;81(5):1002-1005.

29. Schlottmann F, Luckett DJ, Fine J, Patti MG. Laparoscopic Heller myotomy versus per oral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) for achalasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Surg. 2018;267(3):451-460.

30. Franklin AL, Petrosyan M, Kane TD. POEM for pediatric achalasia: a review of the literature. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A. 2019;29(8):1035-1039.

31. Nabi Z, Ramchandani M, Chavan R, et al. Per-oral endoscopic myotomy for achalasia cardia: outcomes in over 400 consecutive patients. Endosc Int Open. 2017;5(4):E331-E339.

32. Onimaru M, Inoue H, Ikeda H, et al. Clinical outcomes of peroral endoscopic myotomy in patients with achalasia aged 60 years or older. Endoscopy. 2010;42(11):975-978.

33. Ponds FA, Fockens P, Lei A, et al. Efficacy and safety of POEM for treatment of achalasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surg Endosc. 2019;33(5):1841-1853.

34. Chan SM, Au K-W, Lam JH, et al. Salvage POEM for achalasia: a prospective single-center study. Endoscopy. 2020;52(3):233-240.

35. Hungness ES, Teitelbaum EN, Santos BF, et al. Comparison of perioperative outcomes between per-oral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) and laparoscopic Heller myotomy. J Gastrointest Surg. 2013;17(2):228-235.

36. Zhou PH, Yao LQ, Zhang YQ, et al. Peroral endoscopic myotomy for esophageal achalasia: report of 42 cases. Gastroenterology. 2009;136(5):1265-1272.

37. Costamagna G, Marchese M, Familiari P, et al. Peroral endoscopic myotomy for esophageal achalasia: analysis of outcomes and cost-effectiveness. Minerva Chir. 2016;71(4):244-250.

38. Aiolfi A, Bona D, Riva CG, et al. Systematic review and Bayesian network meta-analysis comparing laparoscopic Heller myotomy and per-oral endoscopic myotomy for achalasia. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A. 2020;30(2):147-155.

39. Eleftheriadis N, Inoue H, Ikeda H, et al. Robotic-assisted peroral endoscopic myotomy: a feasibility study. Endoscopy. 2021;53(2):145-151.

40. Swanström LL, Kurian A, Dunst CM. A paradigm shift in achalasia treatment: is POEM the new standard? J Gastrointest Surg. 2016;20(2):336-343.

41. Khashab MA, Vela MF, Thosani N, et al. Peroral endoscopic myotomy and new options for the treatment of achalasia. Gastroenterology. 2018;154(8):1901-1913.